

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

V.

C.A. No. 08-309-LPS

FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR INTERNATIONAL,  
INC., FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR  
CORPORATION, and SYSTEM GENERAL  
CORPORATION,

Defendants.

### VERDICT FORM

We, the jury, unanimously find as follows:

**I. INFRINGEMENT OF POWER INTEGRATIONS' '605 PATENT**

1. Has Power Integrations proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Fairchild literally infringes any of the following claims of the '605 patent?

*"YES" is a finding for Power Integrations. "NO" is a finding for Fairchild.*

Please check the boxes that reflect your verdict.

	<b><u>SG5841J Type Products</u></b>	
	<b><u>YES</u></b>	<b><u>NO</u></b>
<b>Claim 1</b>		✓
<b>Claim 2</b>		✓

2. Has Power Integrations proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Fairchild induced others to infringe any of the following claims of the '605 patent?

*"YES" is a finding for Power Integrations. "NO" is a finding for Fairchild.*

Please check the boxes that reflect your verdict.

	<b><u>SG5841J Type Products</u></b>	
	<b><u>YES</u></b>	<b><u>NO</u></b>
<b>Claim 1</b>		✓
<b>Claim 2</b>		✓

**II. INFRINGEMENT OF POWER INTEGRATIONS' '270 PATENT**

3. Has Power Integrations proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Fairchild literally infringes any of the following claims of the '270 patent?

*"YES" is a finding for Power Integrations. "NO" is a finding for Fairchild.*

Please check the boxes that reflect your verdict.

	<u><b>SG5841J Type Products</b></u>	
	<u><b>YES</b></u>	<u><b>NO</b></u>
<b>Claim 6</b>		✓
<b>Claim 7</b>		✓

4. Has Power Integrations proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Fairchild induced others to infringe any of the following claims of the '270 patent?

*"YES" is a finding for Power Integrations. "NO" is a finding for Fairchild.*

Please check the boxes that reflect your verdict.

	<u><b>SG5841J Type Products</b></u>	
	<u><b>YES</b></u>	<u><b>NO</b></u>
<b>Claim 6</b>		✓
<b>Claim 7</b>		✓

**III. INFRINGEMENT OF POWER INTEGRATIONS' '876 PATENT**

5. Has Power Integrations proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Fairchild literally infringes any of the following claims of the '876 patent?

*"YES" is a finding for Power Integrations. "NO" is a finding for Fairchild.*

Please check the boxes that reflect your verdict.

	<u><b>SG5841J Type Products</b></u>		<u><b>FAN103 Type Products</b></u>	
	<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>
<b>Claim 1</b>		✓	✓	
<b>Claim 21</b>		✓	✓	

6. If you answered "NO" for any claim(s) or product(s) in Question 5, has Power Integrations proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Fairchild infringes any of the following claims of the '876 patent under the Doctrine of Equivalents?

*"YES" is a finding for Power Integrations. "NO" is a finding for Fairchild.*

Please check the boxes that reflect your verdict.

	<u><b>SG5841J Type Products</b></u>		<u><b>FAN103 Type Products</b></u>	
	<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>
<b>Claim 1</b>	✓			
<b>Claim 21</b>	✓			

7. Has Power Integrations proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Fairchild induced others to infringe any of the following claims of the '876 patent?

*"YES" is a finding for Power Integrations. "NO" is a finding for Fairchild.*

Please check the boxes that reflect your verdict.

	<u><b>SG5841J Type Products</b></u>		<u><b>FAN103 Type Products</b></u>	
	<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>
<b>Claim 1</b>	✓		✓	
<b>Claim 21</b>	✓		✓	

**IV. INFRINGEMENT OF POWER INTEGRATIONS' '851 PATENT**

8. Has Power Integrations proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Fairchild literally infringes claim 18 of the '851 patent?

*"YES" is a finding for Power Integrations. "NO" is a finding for Fairchild.*

Please check the boxes that reflect your verdict.

	<u><b>SG5841J Type Products</b></u>		<u><b>SG6842J Type Products</b></u>	
	<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>
<b>Claim 18</b>	✓		✓	

9. Has Power Integrations proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Fairchild induced others to infringe claim 18 of the '851 patent?

*"YES" is a finding for Power Integrations. "NO" is a finding for Fairchild.*

Please check the box that reflects your verdict.

	<u><b>SG5841J Type Products</b></u>		<u><b>SG6842J Type Products</b></u>	
	<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>
<b>Claim 18</b>	✓		✓	

**V. INVALIDITY OF POWER INTEGRATIONS' '605 PATENT**

10. Has Fairchild proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following claims of the '605 patent are anticipated and therefore invalid.

*"YES" is a finding for Fairchild. "NO" is a finding for Power Integrations.*

Please check the boxes that reflect your verdict.

	YES	NO
Claim 1	[ ]	[ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ]
Claim 2	[ ]	[ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ]

11. Has Fairchild proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following claims of the '605 patent would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention and therefore that any of the following claims are invalid?

*"YES" is a finding for Fairchild. "NO" is a finding for Power Integrations.*

Please check the boxes that reflect your verdict.

	YES	NO
Claim 1	[ ]	[ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ]
Claim 2	[ ]	[ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ]

**VI. INVALIDITY OF POWER INTEGRATIONS' '270 PATENT**

12. Has Fairchild proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following claims of the '270 patent are anticipated and therefore invalid?

*"YES" is a finding for Fairchild. "NO" is a finding for Power Integrations.*

Please check the boxes that reflect your verdict.

	YES	NO
<b>Claim 6</b>	[     ]	[ ✓ ]
<b>Claim 7</b>	[     ]	[ ✓ ]

13. Has Fairchild proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following claims of the '270 patent would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention and therefore that any of the following claims are invalid?

*"YES" is a finding for Fairchild. "NO" is a finding for Power Integrations.*

Please check the boxes that reflect your verdict.

	YES	NO
<b>Claim 6</b>	[     ]	[ ✓ ]
<b>Claim 7</b>	[     ]	[ ✓ ]



**VII. INVALIDITY OF POWER INTEGRATIONS' '876 PATENT**

14. Has Fairchild proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following claims of the '876 patent are anticipated and therefore invalid?

*"YES" is a finding for Fairchild. "NO" is a finding for Power Integrations.*

Please check the boxes that reflect your verdict.

	YES	NO
Claim 1	[     ]	[ ✓ ]
Claim 21	[     ]	[ ✓ ]

**VIII. INVALIDITY OF POWER INTEGRATIONS' '851 PATENT**

15. Has Fairchild proven by clear and convincing evidence that claim 18 of the '851 patent is anticipated and therefore invalid?

*"YES" is a finding for Fairchild. "NO" is a finding for Power Integrations.*

Please check the box that reflects your verdict.

	<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>
<b>Claim 18</b>	[     ]	[ ✓ ]

**IX. INFRINGEMENT OF FAIRCHILD'S '972 PATENT**

16. Has Fairchild proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Power Integrations infringes any of the following claims of the '972 patent?

*"YES" is a finding for Fairchild. "NO" is a finding for Power Integrations.*

Please check the boxes that reflect your verdict.

	YES	NO
<b>Claim 6</b>	[     ]	[ ✓ ]
<b>Claim 7</b>	[     ]	[ ✓ ]
<b>Claim 18</b>	[     ]	[ ✓ ]
<b>Claim 19</b>	[     ]	[ ✓ ]

17. If you answered "NO" for any claim(s) in Question 16, has Fairchild proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Power Integrations infringes any of the following claims of the '972 patent under the Doctrine of Equivalents?

*"YES" is a finding for Fairchild. "NO" is a finding for Power Integrations.*

Please check the boxes that reflect your verdict.

	YES	NO
<b>Claim 6</b>	[ ✓ ]	[     ]
<b>Claim 7</b>	[ ✓ ]	[     ]
<b>Claim 18</b>	[ ✓ ]	[     ]
<b>Claim 19</b>	[ ✓ ]	[     ]

18. Has Fairchild proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Power Integrations induced others to infringe any of the following claims of the '972 patent?

*"YES" is a finding for Fairchild. "NO" is a finding for Power Integrations.*

Please check the boxes that reflect your verdict.

	YES	NO
Claim 6	[     ]	[ ✓ ]
Claim 7	[     ]	[ ✓ ]
Claim 18	[     ]	[ ✓ ]
Claim 19	[     ]	[ ✓ ]

**X. INFRINGEMENT OF FAIRCHILD'S '595 PATENT**

19. Has Fairchild proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Power Integrations literally infringes any of the following claims of the '595 patent?

*"YES" is a finding for Fairchild. "NO" is a finding for Power Integrations.*

Please check the boxes that reflect your verdict.

	YES	NO
<b>Claim 17</b>	[     ]	[ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ]
<b>Claim 22</b>	[     ]	[ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ]

20. If you answered "NO" for any claim(s) in Question 19, has Fairchild proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Power Integrations infringes any of the following claim(s) of the '595 patent under the Doctrine of Equivalents?

*"YES" is a finding for Fairchild. "NO" is a finding for Power Integrations.*

Please check the boxes that reflect your verdict.

	YES	NO
<b>Claim 17</b>	[     ]	[ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ]
<b>Claim 22</b>	[     ]	[ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ]

21. Has Fairchild proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Power Integrations induced others to infringe any of the following claims of the '595 patent?

*"YES" is a finding for Fairchild. "NO" is a finding for Power Integrations.*

Please check the boxes that reflect your verdict.

	YES	NO
<b>Claim 17</b>	[     ]	[ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ]
<b>Claim 22</b>	[     ]	[ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ]

**XI. INVALIDITY OF FAIRCHILD'S '972 PATENT**

22. Has Power Integrations proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following claims of the '972 patent would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention and therefore that any of the following claims are invalid?

*"YES" is a finding for Power Integrations. "NO" is a finding for Fairchild.*

Please check the boxes that reflect your verdict.

	YES	NO
Claim 6	[     ]	[ ✓ ]
Claim 7	[     ]	[ ✓ ]
Claim 18	[     ]	[ ✓ ]
Claim 19	[     ]	[ ✓ ]

**XII. INVALIDITY OF FAIRCHILD'S '595 PATENT**

23. Has Power Integrations proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following claims of the '595 patent are anticipated and therefore invalid?

*"YES" is a finding for Power Integrations. "NO" is a finding for Fairchild.*

Please check the boxes that reflect your verdict.

	YES	NO
Claim 17	[      ]	[ ✓ ]
Claim 22	[      ]	[ ✓ ]